

On polarization of strange baryons in reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda^0 + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$ near thresholds

A. Ya. Berdnikov, Ya. A. Berdnikov *, A. N. Ivanov, V. A. Ivanova,
 V. F. Kosmach ,
 M. D. Scadron †, and N. I. Troitskaya

February 8, 2008

*Department of Nuclear Physics, State Technical University of St. Petersburg, 195251 St.
 Petersburg, Russian Federation*

Abstract

Polarization properties of strange baryons produced in pp reactions, $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda^0 + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$, near thresholds of the final states $p\Lambda^0K^+$ and $p\Sigma^0K^+$ are analysed relative to polarizations of colliding protons. The cross sections for pp reactions are calculated within the effective Lagrangian approach accounting for strong pp rescattering in the initial state of colliding protons with a dominant contribution of the one-pion exchange and strong final-state interaction of daughter hadrons (Eur. Phys. J. **A** 9, 425 (2000)).

*E-mail: berdnikov@twonet.stu.neva.ru

†E-mail: scadron@physics.arizona.edu, Physics Department, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA

1 Introduction

Recently [1] we have considered a production of strangeness in pp reactions, $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$, where $Y = \Lambda^0$ or Σ^0 , near thresholds of daughter hadrons. We have derived the effective Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{pp \rightarrow pYK^+}(x) = & i \frac{1}{4} C_{pYK^+} \varphi_{K^+}^\dagger(x) \{ [\bar{p}(x) \gamma^5 Y^c(x)] [\bar{p}^c(x) p(x)] \\ & + [\bar{p}(x) Y^c(x)] [\bar{p}^c(x) \gamma^5 p(x)] + [\bar{p}(x) \gamma^\mu Y^c(x)] [\bar{p}^c(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 p(x)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

describing the effective vertex of the transition $p + p \rightarrow Y + K^+ + p$, where $p(x)$, $Y(x)$ and $\varphi_{K^+}(x)$ are the interpolating operators of the proton, hyperon and K^+ -meson fields, the index (c) stands for a charge conjugation. The first and the last two terms in the Lagrangian Eq.(1.1) describe the pY-pair coupled in the spin singlet, $S = 0$, and spin triplet state, $S = 1$, respectively. The coupling constant C_{pYK^+} has been calculated in Ref.[1] and reads

$$C_{pYK^+} = \frac{g_{pYK^+} g_{\pi NN}^2}{M_p + M_Y + M_{K^+}} \frac{1}{M_\pi^2 + 2M_p(E_{\vec{p}} - M_p)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $E_{\vec{p}} = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + M_p^2}$, $|\vec{p}| = \vec{p}_0$ and $p_0 = \sqrt{(M_Y + M_{K^+} - M_p)(M_Y + M_{K^+} + 3M_p)}/2$ is the relative 3-momentum of the colliding protons near threshold, g_{pYK^+} and $g_{\pi NN}$ are the pseudoscalar meson-baryon-baryon coupling constants [1,2]. Then, M_p , M_Y and M_{K^+} are masses of the proton, the hyperon and the K^+ -meson. The appearance of the π -meson mass M_π testifies the calculation of the effective coupling constant C_{pYK^+} in the one-pion exchange approximation. As has been shown in Ref.[1] the accuracy of this approximation makes up a few percent.

According to relativistically covariant partial-wave analysis developed by Anisovich *et al.* [3] the spin triplet state, $S = 1$, of the pY-pair is splitted into the 3P_0 state, described by the the second term in (1.1), and the 3S_1 and 3D_1 states mixed in the third term of (1.1).

In Ref.[1] the cross sections for the reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$, calculated for unpolarized particles, fit experimental data [3–6] with accuracy better than 11% for excess of energy ε , defined by $\varepsilon = \sqrt{s} - M_p - M_Y - M_{K^+}$ [1], ranging values from the region $0.68 \text{ MeV} \leq \varepsilon \leq 138 \text{ MeV}$ [1].

In this paper we calculate the cross sections for the reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$, where $Y = \Lambda^0$ or Σ^0 , near thresholds in dependence on polarizations of baryons. We analyse the contributions of the pY-pair produced in the 1S_0 , 3P_0 , 3S_1 and 3D_1 states. We show that the pY-pair can be created only in the spin singlet 1S_0 and spin triplet 3S_1 states. Therewith a production of a polarized strange baryon relative to polarizations of colliding protons comes about only for the spin triplet 3S_1 state of the pY-pair.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the projection operators introduced by Anisovich *et al.* [3] for the projection of the wave function of a nucleon-nucleon pair onto the 3S_1 and 3D_1 states are generalized for the case of non-equal masses of coupled baryons. In section 3 we calculate the amplitude of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$. We show that near threshold the pY-pair can be produced only in the spin singlet 1S_0 and spin triplet 3S_1 states. This corresponds the colliding protons coupled in the 3P_0

and 3P_1 states, respectively. In section 4 we calculate the cross sections for pp reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda^0 + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$ in dependence on polarizations of colliding protons and strange baryons Λ^0 and Σ^0 . In the Conclusion we discuss the obtained results.

2 Partial-wave decomposition of effective vertex of transition $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$

The calculation of the amplitude of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ we start with the decomposition of the effective vertex of the transition $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$, described by the effective Lagrangian (1.1), into the interactions for which the pY-pair couples to the initial protons and the K^+ -meson in the states with certain orbital momenta. For this aim it is convenient to pass into momentum representation. In momentum representation the effective vertex described by the effective Lagrangian (1.1) reads [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(pp \rightarrow pYK^+) = & \\ = i \frac{1}{2} C_{pYK^+} \left\{ \left[\bar{u} \left(-\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_p \right) \gamma^5 u^c \left(\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_Y \right) \right] \left[\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2) u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1) \right] \right. & \\ + \left[\bar{u} \left(-\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_p \right) u^c \left(\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_Y \right) \right] \left[\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2) \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1) \right] & \\ + \left. \left[\bar{u} \left(-\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_p \right) \gamma^\mu u^c \left(\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_Y \right) \right] \left[\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2) \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1) \right] \right\}, & \quad (2.1) \end{aligned}$$

According to classification given by Anisovich *et al.* [3] the first, second and third terms in the r.h.s. of (2.1) describe the contribution of the pY-pair coupled in the 1S_0 , 3P_0 and a mixture of 3S_1 and 3P_1 states respectively. In the low-energy limit there survive only the first and the third terms of (2.1). Indeed, the wave function of the pY-pair in the 3P_0 state is proportional to a relative 3-momentum of the pY-pair and vanishes in the low-energy limit. Therefore, near threshold of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ the second term in (2.1) can be dropped out. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(pp \rightarrow pYK^+) = & \\ = i \frac{1}{2} C_{pYK^+} \left\{ \left[\bar{u} \left(-\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_p \right) \gamma^5 u^c \left(\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_Y \right) \right] \left[\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2) u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1) \right] \right. & \\ + \left. \left[\bar{u} \left(-\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_p \right) \gamma^\mu u^c \left(\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K, \alpha_Y \right) \right] \left[\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2) \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1) \right] \right\}, & \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

For the decomposition of the wave function of the pY-pair in the last term of (2.2) into the states 3S_1 and 3D_1 with certain orbital momenta we introduce the notations: $k_Y = (E_Y, \vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K) = (E_Y, \vec{k}_Y)$, $k_p = (E_p, -\vec{q}_{pY} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_K) = (E_p, \vec{k}_p)$, $P = k_Y + k_p$, $k = \frac{1}{2}(k_Y - k_p)$ and

$$\gamma_\mu^\perp = \gamma_\mu - \hat{P} \frac{P_\mu}{P^2}, \quad k_\mu^\perp = k_\mu - \frac{P \cdot k}{P^2} P_\mu. \quad (2.3)$$

The 4-vectors $\gamma_\mu^\perp$ and $k_\mu^\perp$ are orthogonal to P_μ : $P \cdot \gamma^\perp = P \cdot k^\perp = 0$.

The baryon densities describing the pY-pair in the 3S_1 and 3D_1 states are defined by [3] (see also [8])

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_\mu({}^3S_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) &= [\bar{u}(k_p, \alpha_p) S_\mu u^c(k_Y, \alpha_Y)], \\ \Psi_\mu({}^3D_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) &= [\bar{u}(k_p, \alpha_p) D_\mu u^c(k_Y, \alpha_Y)],\end{aligned}\quad (2.4)$$

where S_μ and D_μ are relativistically covariant operators of the projection onto the 3S_1 and 3D_1 states, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}S_\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{P^2 - (M_Y - M_p)^2}} \left[\gamma_\mu^\perp + \frac{2}{M_Y + M_p + \sqrt{P^2}} k_\mu^\perp \right], \\ D_\mu &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{P^2 - (M_Y - M_p)^2}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{(M_Y + M_p)^2}{P^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{(M_Y - M_p)^2}{P^2} \right) \gamma_\mu^\perp \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{P^2} \left(1 - \frac{(M_Y - M_p)^2}{P^2} \right) \left(\sqrt{P^2} + \frac{M_Y + M_p}{2} \right) k_\mu^\perp \right].\end{aligned}\quad (2.5)$$

This is the generalization of the projection operators introduced by Anisovich *et al.* (see (C.2–C.3) of Ref.[3]) for non-equal masses of coupled baryons.

In the center of mass frame of the pY-pair the baryon densities (2.4) are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_0({}^3S_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) &= [\bar{u}(k_p, \alpha_p) S_0 u^c(k_Y, \alpha_Y)] = 0, \\ \vec{\Psi}({}^3S_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) &= [\bar{u}(k_p, \alpha_p) \vec{S} u^c(k_Y, \alpha_Y)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi_p^\dagger(\alpha_p) \vec{\sigma} \varphi_Y(\alpha_Y), \\ \Psi_0({}^3D_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) &= [\bar{u}(k_p, \alpha_p) D_0 u^c(k_Y, \alpha_Y)] = 0, \\ \vec{\Psi}({}^3D_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) &= [\bar{u}(k_p, \alpha_p) \vec{D} u^c(k_Y, \alpha_Y)] = -v^2 \varphi_p^\dagger(\alpha_p) \left(\frac{3(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}) \vec{n} - \vec{\sigma}}{2} \right) \varphi_Y(\alpha_Y),\end{aligned}\quad (2.6)$$

where $\vec{n} = \vec{p}/|\vec{p}|$ is a unit vector of a relative momentum $\vec{p}$ and v amounts to

$$v = \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{(M_Y - M_p)^2}{P^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{(M_Y + M_p)^2}{P^2} \right)}.\quad (2.7)$$

Hence, the formulas (2.6) demonstrate that the baryon densities (2.4) describe the pY-pair in the S- and D-wave states with a total spin $S = 1$ and a total momentum $J = 1$. The baryon densities (2.6) are normalized by [8]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha_p=\pm 1/2} \sum_{\alpha_Y=\pm 1/2} \vec{\Psi}^\dagger({}^3S_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) \cdot \vec{\Psi}({}^3S_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) &= 1, \\ \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha_p=\pm 1/2} \sum_{\alpha_Y=\pm 1/2} \vec{\Psi}^\dagger({}^3D_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) \cdot \vec{\Psi}({}^3D_1; \alpha_p, \alpha_Y) &= v^4,\end{aligned}\quad (2.8)$$

where v is given by (2.7). The factor 3 in the denominator of the l.h.s. of Eq.(2.8) describes the number of the states of the pY-pair with a total momentum $J = 1$, $2J + 1 = 3$.

For the analysis of nuclear reactions it is convenient to remind that the normalization (2.8) corresponds to the normalization in the phase volume of the pY-pair [3] (see also

[8]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3} \int \text{tr} \{ L_\mu (\hat{k}_p + M_p) L^\mu (-\hat{k}_Y + M_Y) \} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P - k_p - k_Y) \frac{d^3 k_p}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p} \frac{d^3 k_Y}{(2\pi)^3 2E_Y} = \\ & = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(1 - \frac{(M_Y - M_p)^2}{P^2} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{(M_Y + M_p)^2}{P^2} \right)^{1/2} & , \quad L_\mu = S_\mu, \\ \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(1 - \frac{(M_Y - M_p)^2}{P^2} \right)^{5/2} \left(1 - \frac{(M_Y + M_p)^2}{P^2} \right)^{5/2} & , \quad L_\mu = D_\mu. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Solving equations (2.5) with respect to $\gamma_\mu^\perp$ we express $\gamma_\mu^\perp$ in terms of the projection operators S_μ and D_μ

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\mu^\perp &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\sqrt{P^2} + \frac{M_Y + M_p}{2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{(M_Y - M_p)^2}{P^2}} S_\mu \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} \frac{(P^2)^{3/2}}{(\sqrt{P^2} + M_Y + M_p) \sqrt{P^2 - (M_Y - M_p)^2}} D_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

In the limit of equal masses $M_Y = M_p = M_N$ the r.h.s. of (2.10) reduces itself to the form of Eq.(2.16) of Ref.[8].

Near threshold of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ we can define $\sqrt{P^2}$ in terms of an excess of energy ε : $\sqrt{P^2} = \varepsilon + M_Y + M_p$. Hence, near threshold of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ the Dirac matrix $\gamma_\mu^\perp$ expanded into the projection operators S_μ and D_μ can be approximated by

$$\gamma_\mu^\perp = 2\sqrt{2} \sqrt{M_Y M_p} S_\mu + \frac{(M_Y + M_p)^2}{6\sqrt{M_Y M_p}} D_\mu. \quad (2.11)$$

Since in the low-energy limit the parameter v is of order $O(\varepsilon)$, $v \sim \varepsilon/(M_Y + M_p)$, the contribution of the 3D_1 states can be neglected near threshold of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$.

Substituting (2.11) in (2.2) and keeping only leading terms in the low-energy limit we arrive at the effective vertex of the transition $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(pp \rightarrow pYK^+) &= i \frac{1}{2} C_{pYK^+} \{ [\bar{u}(\vec{k}_p, \alpha_p) \gamma^5 u^c(\vec{k}_Y, \alpha_Y)] [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2) u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] \\ &- 2\sqrt{2} \sqrt{M_Y M_p} [\bar{u}(\vec{k}_p, \alpha_p) \vec{S} u^c(\vec{k}_Y, \alpha_Y)] \cdot [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2) \vec{\gamma} \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

We have taken into account the fact that near threshold, when we are able to neglect a 3-momentum of the K^+ -meson, the pY -pair is practically in the center of mass frame. This implies that only spatial components of the projection operator S_μ are material.

The effective vertex (2.12) evidences that near threshold of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ the pY -pair can be produced only in the spin singlet 1S_0 and spin triplet 3S_1 states. This corresponds to colliding protons coupled in the 3P_0 and 3P_1 states, respectively.

3 Amplitude of reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$

For the calculation of the amplitude of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ we would follow Ref.[1] and take into account strong pp interaction in the initial state, i.e. pp rescattering $p + p \rightarrow p + p$. As has been shown in Ref.[1] the effective pp interaction responsible for the transition $p + p \rightarrow p + p$ can be represented in the local form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{pp}}(x) = & \frac{1}{8} C_{\text{pp}} \left\{ [\bar{p}(x)p^c(x)][\bar{p}^c(x)p(x)] + [\bar{p}(x)\gamma^5 p^c(x)][\bar{p}^c(x)\gamma^5 p(x)] \right. \\ & \left. + [\bar{p}(x)\gamma_\mu \gamma^5 p^c(x)][\bar{p}^c(x)\gamma^\mu \gamma^5 p(x)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where the coupling constant C_{pp} is equal to [1]

$$C_{\text{pp}} = \frac{g_{\pi\text{NN}}^2}{4\bar{p}^2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{4\bar{p}^2}{M_\pi^2} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

By summing up infinite series of one-proton loop diagrams the vertices of which are defined by the effective interaction Eq.(3.1) we arrive at the expressions [1,9]

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2)u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] & \rightarrow \frac{[\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2)u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)]}{1 + \frac{C_{\text{pp}}}{64\pi^2} \int \frac{d^4 k}{\pi^2 i} \text{tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{M_p - \hat{k}} \frac{1}{M_p - \hat{k} - \hat{Q}} \right\}}, \\ [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2)\gamma^i \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] & \rightarrow (D_{\text{pp}}^{-1}(Q))^{ij} [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2))\gamma_j \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)], \\ D_{\text{pp}}^{ij}(Q) = g^{ji} + \frac{C_{\text{pp}}}{64\pi^2} \int \frac{d^4 k}{\pi^2 i} \text{tr} \left\{ \gamma^i \gamma^5 \frac{1}{M_p - \hat{k}} \gamma^j \gamma^5 \frac{1}{M_p - \hat{k} - \hat{Q}} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where Latin indices run over $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $Q = (2\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + M_p^2}, \vec{0})$.

After the evaluation of momentum integrals and renormalization of wave functions of the protons [1,9] we obtain the contributions of strong pp interaction, pp rescattering, in the initial state of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2)u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] & \rightarrow \frac{[\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2)u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)]}{1 + \frac{C_{\text{pp}}(\vec{p}^2, \Lambda)}{8\pi^2} \frac{|\vec{p}|^3}{E_{\vec{p}}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{E_{\vec{p}} + |\vec{p}|}{E_{\vec{p}} - |\vec{p}|} \right) + \pi i \right]} = \\ & = [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2)u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] f_{\text{pp}}^{\text{pYK}^+}({}^3\text{P}_0; |\vec{p}|) e^{i\delta_{\text{pp}}^{\text{pYK}^+}({}^3\text{P}_0; |\vec{p}|)}, \\ [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2)\vec{\gamma} \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] & \rightarrow \frac{[\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2))\vec{\gamma} \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)]}{1 + \frac{C_{\text{pp}}(\vec{p}^2, \Lambda)}{8\pi^2} \frac{|\vec{p}|^3}{E_{\vec{p}}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{E_{\vec{p}} + |\vec{p}|}{E_{\vec{p}} - |\vec{p}|} \right) + \pi i \right]} = \\ & = [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2)\vec{\gamma} \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] f_{\text{pp}}^{\text{pYK}^+}({}^3\text{P}_1; |\vec{p}|) e^{i\delta_{\text{pp}}^{\text{pYK}^+}({}^3\text{P}_1; |\vec{p}|)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $C_{\text{pp}}(\vec{p}^2, \Lambda)$ amounts to [1]

$$C_{\text{pp}}(\vec{p}^2, \Lambda) = \frac{C_{\text{pp}}}{1 + \frac{C_{\text{pp}}\vec{p}^2}{4\pi^2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{M_p} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_p^2}} \right) - \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{M_p^2 + \Lambda^2}} \right]}. \quad (3.5)$$

The appearance of the cut-off Λ is caused by non-trivial $\vec{p}$ -dependent logarithmically divergent contributions. The cut-off Λ restricts from above 3-momenta of virtual proton fluctuations and is equal to $\Lambda = 1200 \text{ MeV}$ [1].

In our model the amplitudes of pp rescattering in the 3P_0 and 3P_1 states are equal near threshold of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$. Therefore, below we denote

$$\begin{aligned} f_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_0; |\vec{p}|) e^{i\delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_0; |\vec{p}|)} &= f_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_1; |\vec{p}|) e^{i\delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_1; |\vec{p}|)} = \\ &= f_{pp}^{pYK^+}(|\vec{p}|) e^{i\delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(|\vec{p}|)} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{C_{pp}(\vec{p}^2, \Lambda)}{8\pi^2} \frac{|\vec{p}|^3}{E_{\vec{p}}} \left[\ell n \left(\frac{E_{\vec{p}} + |\vec{p}|}{E_{\vec{p}} - |\vec{p}|} \right) + \pi i \right]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

As has been shown in Ref.[1] in our model the amplitude of strong low-energy pY interaction in the final state we can be represented in Watson's form for the final-state interaction [10] in terms of the scattering length a_{pY} and the effective range r_{pY} of low-energy elastic pY scattering:

$$f^{pY \rightarrow pY}(q_{pY}) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2} a_{pY} r_{pY} q_{pY}^2 + i a_{pY} q_{pY}} = f_{pY}(q_{pY}) e^{i\delta_{pY}(q_{pY})}. \quad (3.7)$$

According to Balewski *et al.* [10] for the description of the final pY interaction in the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ we would use average values for scattering lengths and effective ranges in the spin singlet 1S_0 and spin triplet 3S_1 states of the pY-pair: $a_{pY} = -2.0 \text{ fm}$ and $r_{pY} = 1.0 \text{ fm}$ [10]. This assumes that the amplitudes of low-energy elastic pY scattering in the spin singlet 1S_0 and spin triplet 3S_1 states are equal

$$f_{pY}(^1S_0; q_{pY}) e^{i\delta_{pY}(^1S_0; q_{pY})} = f_{pY}(^3S_1; q_{pY}) e^{i\delta_{pY}(^3S_1; q_{pY})} = f_{pY}(q_{pY}) e^{i\delta_{pY}(q_{pY})}. \quad (3.8)$$

In Ref.[1] we have shown that this assumption agrees well with experimental data [4–7].

Accounting for the Coulomb repulsion between the daughter proton and the K^+ -meson we obtain the total amplitude of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ near threshold of the final state

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(pp \rightarrow pYK^+) &= \frac{i}{2} C_{pYK^+} f_{pp}^{pYK^+}(|\vec{p}|) f_{pY}(q_{pY}) e^{i\delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(|\vec{p}|) + i\delta_{pY}(q_{pY})} \\ &\times \sqrt{\frac{M_{pK^+}}{q_{pK^+}} \frac{2\pi\alpha}{e^{2\pi\alpha M_{pK^+}/q_{pK^+}} - 1}} \{ [\bar{u}(\vec{k}_p, \alpha_p) \gamma^5 u^c(\vec{k}_Y, \alpha_Y)] [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2) u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] \\ &- 2\sqrt{2} \sqrt{M_Y M_p} [\bar{u}(\vec{k}_p, \alpha_p) \vec{S} u^c(\vec{k}_Y, \alpha_Y)] \cdot [\bar{u}^c(-\vec{p}, \alpha_2) \vec{\gamma} \gamma^5 u(\vec{p}, \alpha_1)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where the factor depending of the fine structure constant $\alpha = 1/137$ takes into account the Coulomb repulsion between the daughter proton and the K^+ meson at low relative 3-momenta q_{pK^+} [10] (see also [9]), $M_{pK^+} = M_p M_{K^+} / (M_p + M_{K^+})$ is a reduced mass of the pK^+ system.

4 Cross sections for reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda^0 + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$ with polarized baryons

The calculation of the cross section for the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ we carry out in dependence on polarizations of strange baryon and colliding protons [11]. The polarization vectors of coupled baryons we define as follows [12]

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_1^\mu &= \left(+\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_1}{M_p}, \vec{\zeta}_1 + \frac{\vec{p}(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_1)}{M_p(E_{\vec{p}} + M_p)} \right), \\ \zeta_2^\mu &= \left(-\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_2}{M_p}, \vec{\zeta}_2 + \frac{\vec{p}(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_2)}{M_p(E_{\vec{p}} + M_p)} \right), \\ \zeta_Y^\mu &= (0, \vec{\zeta}_Y),\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

where $\vec{\zeta}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, Y$) are polarization vectors of baryons normalized to unity $\vec{\zeta}_i^2 = 1$.

Introducing the polarization vectors of baryons in a standard way

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha_1=\pm 1/2} u(p_1, \alpha_1) \bar{u}(p_1, \alpha_1) &= (\hat{p}_1 + M_p) \left(\frac{1 + \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_1}{2} \right), \\ \sum_{\alpha_2=\pm 1/2} u^c(p_2, \alpha_2) \bar{u}^c(p_2, \alpha_2) &= (\hat{p}_2 - M_p) \left(\frac{1 + \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_2}{2} \right), \\ \sum_{\alpha_Y=\pm 1/2} u^c(k_Y, \alpha_Y) \bar{u}^c(k_Y, \alpha_Y) &= (\hat{k}_Y - M_Y) \left(\frac{1 + \gamma^5 \hat{\zeta}_Y}{2} \right)\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

we calculate the squared amplitude (3.9), averaged and summed over the states of colliding protons and final baryons. The result reads

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{|\mathcal{M}(pp \rightarrow pYK^+)|^2} &= C_{p\Lambda K^+}^2 |f_{pp}^{pYK^+}(|\vec{p}|)|^2 |f_{pY}(q_{pY})|^2 \frac{M_{pK^+}}{q_{pK^+}} \frac{2\pi\alpha}{e^{2\pi\alpha M_{pK^+}/q_{pK^+}} - 1} \\ &\times 4\vec{p}^2 M_p M_Y \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \vec{\zeta}_1 \cdot \vec{\zeta}_2 + \frac{1}{3} \vec{n} \cdot (\vec{\zeta}_1 + \vec{\zeta}_2)(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_Y) \right) = \overline{|\mathcal{M}(pp \rightarrow pYK^+)|^2}_0 \\ &\times \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \vec{\zeta}_1 \cdot \vec{\zeta}_2 + \frac{1}{3} \vec{n} \cdot (\vec{\zeta}_1 + \vec{\zeta}_2)(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_Y) \right),\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

where $\overline{|\mathcal{M}(pp \rightarrow pYK^+)|^2}_0$ is a squared amplitude of the reaction under consideration with unpolarized particles and $\vec{n} = \vec{p}/|\vec{p}|$ is a unit vector along a relative 3-momentum of colliding protons.

If only one of the colliding protons is polarized, the amplitude (4.3) reduces to a simpler form

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}(pp \rightarrow pYK^+)|^2} = \overline{|\mathcal{M}(pp \rightarrow pYK^+)|^2}_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta})(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_Y) \right),\tag{4.4}$$

where $\vec{\zeta}$ is a polarization vector of the polarized proton in the initial state.

Using (4.3), (4.4) and the results obtained in Ref.[1] we write down the cross sections for the reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda^0 + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$, when (i) colliding

protons and a strange baryon are polarized, $\vec{p} + \vec{p} \rightarrow p + \vec{Y} + K^+$, and (ii) there are polarized only one of the colliding protons and a strange baryon, $\vec{p} + p \rightarrow p + \vec{Y} + K^+$ or $p + \vec{p} \rightarrow p + \vec{Y} + K^+$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{\vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow p\vec{\Lambda}^0 K^+}(\varepsilon) &= \sigma^{pp \rightarrow p\Lambda^0 K^+}(\varepsilon) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \vec{\zeta}_1 \cdot \vec{\zeta}_2 + \frac{1}{3} \vec{n} \cdot (\vec{\zeta}_1 + \vec{\zeta}_2)(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_{\Lambda^0})\right), \\ \sigma^{\vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow p\vec{\Sigma}^0 K^+}(\varepsilon) &= \sigma^{pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+}(\varepsilon) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \vec{\zeta}_1 \cdot \vec{\zeta}_2 + \frac{1}{3} \vec{n} \cdot (\vec{\zeta}_1 + \vec{\zeta}_2)(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_{\Sigma^0})\right).\end{aligned}\quad (4.5)$$

For reactions $p + \vec{p} \rightarrow p + \vec{\Lambda}^0 + K^+$ and $p + \vec{p} \rightarrow p + \vec{\Sigma}^0 + K^+$ with one polarized proton in the initial state and a polarized strange baryon we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{p\vec{p} \rightarrow p\vec{\Lambda}^0 K^+}(\varepsilon) &= \sigma^{pp \rightarrow p\Lambda^0 K^+}(\varepsilon) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta})(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_{\Lambda^0})\right), \\ \sigma^{p\vec{p} \rightarrow p\vec{\Sigma}^0 K^+}(\varepsilon) &= \sigma^{pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+}(\varepsilon) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta})(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_{\Sigma^0})\right).\end{aligned}\quad (4.6)$$

The cross sections for unpolarized baryons $\sigma^{pp \rightarrow p\Lambda^0 K^+}(\varepsilon)$ and $\sigma^{pp \rightarrow p\Sigma^0 K^+}(\varepsilon)$ have been tabulated in Ref.[1] for excess of energy ε ranging values from the region $0.68 \text{ MeV} \leq \varepsilon \leq 138 \text{ MeV}$. Theoretical cross sections fit experimental data with accuracy better than 11%.

5 Conclusion

We have shown that in our approach [1] to the description of pp reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda^0 + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$ near thresholds of the final states $p\Lambda K^+$ and $p\Sigma^0 K^+$, based on pp rescattering in the initial state with a dominant contribution of the one-pion exchange and strong final-state interaction of daughter hadrons, polarization properties of strange baryons can be investigated with respect to polarizations of colliding protons.

Near thresholds of the reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda^0 + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$ we predict production of $p\Lambda^0$ and $p\Sigma^0$ pairs only in the spin singlet 1S_0 and spin triplet 3S_1 states. This result has been obtained by means of relativistically covariant partial-wave analysis worked out by Anisovich *et al.* for nucleon-nucleon scattering [3]. In order to implement this analysis to reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda^0 + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$ for the description of wave functions of $p\Lambda^0$ and $p\Sigma^0$ pairs we have generalized the projection operators introduced by Anisovich *et al.* for nucleon-nucleon pairs onto the case of baryon-baryon pairs with non-equal masses of coupled baryon.

In our model production of a polarized strange baryon can come about only for $p\Lambda^0$ and $p\Sigma^0$ pairs produced in the spin triplet state 3S_1 . The more detailed predictions for polarization of strange baryons can be obtained from theoretical cross sections (4.5) and (4.6) in accord specific experimental conditions of experimental analysis of reactions $p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda^0 + K^+$ and $p + p \rightarrow p + \Sigma^0 + K^+$.

Now let us compare our results with the model-independent analysis of polarization of strange baryons in the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ worked out by Rekaló *et al.* [11]. According to Ref.[11] the most general form of the cross section for the reaction $\vec{p} + \vec{p} \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ with polarized colliding protons and unpolarized strange baryon should read

$$\sigma^{\vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow pYK^+}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^{pp \rightarrow pYK^+}(\varepsilon) \left(1 + \mathcal{A}_1 \vec{\zeta}_1 \cdot \vec{\zeta}_2 + \mathcal{A}_2 (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_1)(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_2)\right), \quad (5.1)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are real functions obeying the constraint

$$3\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2 = 1. \quad (5.2)$$

When matching the expression (5.1) with ours (4.5) we find that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \frac{1}{3} \quad , \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

This agrees completely with Rekalo's prediction (5.2).

Unlike our results Rekalo *et al.* did not give an explicit expression of the cross section for the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ with polarized colliding protons and strange baryon. Therefore, we cannot compare our theoretical cross sections (4.5) and (4.6) with analogous expressions which could be obtained within a model-independent approach [11].

However, following general properties of strong interactions and parity invariance, in particular, Rekalo *et al.* predicted that the projection of the polarization vector $\vec{\zeta}_Y$ of a strange baryon onto a relative 3-momentum of colliding protons $\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_Y$ is proportional to $\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}$ [11]

$$\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_Y = (1 - \mathcal{A}_1) \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}. \quad (5.4)$$

In our model, when $\mathcal{A}_1 = 1/3$, this gives

$$\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_Y = \frac{2}{3} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}. \quad (5.5)$$

This result can be verified experimentally.

The absence in our cross sections (4.5) the terms $\vec{\zeta}_Y \cdot (\vec{\zeta}_1 \times \vec{\zeta}_2)$, $(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_Y)(\vec{n} \cdot (\vec{\zeta}_1 \times \vec{\zeta}_2))$ and so testifies that in our approach polarization observables of strange baryons defining the cross section for the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ are even under time reversal, T -even polarization observables [12]. According to Rekalo's model-independent analysis this assumes the relation between phases of amplitudes of pp and pY scattering

$$\delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_0; |\vec{p}|) + \delta_{pY}(^1S_0; q_{pY}) = \delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_1; |\vec{p}|) + \delta_{pY}(^3S_1; q_{pY}), \quad (5.6)$$

where $\delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_0; |\vec{p}|)$ and $\delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_1; |\vec{p}|)$ are the phases of amplitudes of strong pp rescattering in the 3P_0 and 3P_1 states, respectively, and $\delta_{pY}(^1S_0; q_{pY})$ and $\delta_{pY}(^3S_1; q_{pY})$ are the phases of low-energy elastic pY scattering in the spin singlet 1S_0 and spin triplet 3S_1 states, respectively. Since scattering lengths and effective ranges of elastic pY scattering have been set equal this implies that $\delta_{pY}(^1S_0; q_{pY}) = \delta_{pY}(^3S_1; q_{pY})$. Substituting this relation into (5.6) we obtain the constraint

$$\delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_0; |\vec{p}|) = \delta_{pp}^{pYK^+}(^3P_1; |\vec{p}|). \quad (5.7)$$

Hence, any experimental measurement of the cross section for the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$ with non-vanishing contributions of T -odd polarization observables like $\vec{\zeta}_Y \cdot (\vec{\zeta}_1 \times \vec{\zeta}_2)$ should evidence a violation of constraints (5.6) and (5.7). The former might mean that either scattering lengths and effective ranges of low-energy elastic pY scattering are not really equal for the spin singlet 1S_0 and spin triplet 3S_1 states or, in reality, amplitudes of strong pp rescattering in the 3P_0 and 3P_1 states of colliding protons differ themselves near threshold of the reaction $p + p \rightarrow p + Y + K^+$.

References

- [1] A. Ya. Berdnikov, Ya. A. Berdnikov, A. N. Ivanov, V. F. Kosmach, M. D. Scadron, and N. I. Troitskaya, Eur. Phys. J. A **9** (2000) 425, hep-ph/0011343.
- [2] M. M. Nagels *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 253 (1979).
- [3] V.V. Anisovich, M.N. Kobrinsky, D.I. Melikhov, A.V. Sarantsev, Nucl. Phys. A **544**, 747 (1992); V. V. Anisovich, D. I. Melikhov, B. Ch. Metch, and H. R. Petry, Nucl. Phys. A **563**, 549 (1993).
- [4] J. T. Balewski *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **388**, 859 (1996).
- [5] J. T. Balewski *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **420**, 211 (1998).
- [6] R. Bilger *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **420**, 217 (1998).
- [7] S. Sewerin *et al.*, COSY-11 Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. A **663**, 473 (2000); Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 682 (1999).
- [8] A. N. Ivanov, V. A. Ivanova, H. Oberhummer, N. I. Troitskaya, and M. Faber, Eur. Phys. J. A **12**, 000 (2001), nucl-th/0108067.
- [9] A. N. Ivanov, H. Oberhummer, N. I. Troitskaya, and M. Faber, Eur. Phys. J. A **7**, 519 (2000), nucl-th/0006049; Eur. Phys. J. A **8** (2000) 129, nucl-th/0006050; Eur. Phys. J. A **8**, 223 (2000), nucl-th/0006051.
- [10] J. T. Balewski *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A **2**, 99 (1998).
- [11] M. P. Rekalo, J. Arvieux, and E. Tomasi-Gustafsson, Phys. Rev. C **56**, 2238 (1997).
- [12] Ya. A. Berdnikov, A. N. Ivanov, V. F. Kosmach and N. I. Troitskaya, Phys. Rev. C **60** (1999) 015201, A. Ya. Berdnikov, Ya. A. Berdnikov, A. N. Ivanov, V. F. Kosmach, M. D. Scadron, and N. I. Troitskaya, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 014027 (2001).